

CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes

Progress the jurisdiction has made in carrying out its strategic plan and its action plan. 91.520(a)

This could be an overview that includes major initiatives and highlights that were proposed and executed throughout the program year.

The state continues to address the four major priorities under the Community Development Block Grant Program 1. Water and wastewater projects, 2. Economic Development, 3. Public Facilities (not W/S projects) and 4. Planning and Capacity building. Approximately 50% of all funds go toward addressing water and wastewater projects. Higher consideration is given to those communities that are under a consent order from the Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality. Also higher consideration is given to those communities with higher low to moderate income percentages.

Approximately 20% of funds go toward addressing publicly owned infrastructure projects support and expanding business that will create jobs for low to moderate income persons. Of the 436 jobs created this program year, 356 were filled by individuals who were LMI.

In 2020, ODOC staff reported 5 closed CDBG-DR projects with approximately 3720 persons served.

The primary goal of the OHFA HOME Program is to retain and increase the supply of decent, safe, and sanitary affordable housing. OHFA furthers this goal by using the HOME Program financial resources as a catalyst in the development and strengthening of public partnerships with local governments, nonprofit organizations, private sector development entities, financial institutions, and debt and equity capital outlets.

HOME's allocation for 2020 was awarded based on the following percentages. 10% of the allocation was for Administration costs, 5% for CHDO Operating Assistance, at least 25% funded CHDO contracts, 10% funded downpayment assistant contracts, 25% funded and 50% of the allocation was used for Rental/Homeownership contracts. The 2019 HOME allocation produced 188 units of affordable housing.

All HTF funds that OHFA receives in 2020 will be used to provide housing for Extremely Low Income families or families at or below the Poverty Line, whichever is greater. In accordance with 24 CFR Part 93, OHFA will allocate 10% of its grant to program planning and administration costs (\$300,000); up to one third for funding operating cost reserves; the balance of the grant will provide capital funding for new construction or rehabilitation of housing units.

All HOPWA funds will be used to ensure housing for persons living with HIV/AIDS is an essential component of the Continuum of Care

approach. Priority will be given to projects that target low-income individuals living with HIV/AIDS in Oklahoma, who are either currently homeless or in eminent danger of becoming homeless

Comparison of the proposed versus actual outcomes for each outcome measure submitted with the consolidated plan and explain, if applicable, why progress was not made toward meeting goals and objectives. 91.520(g)

Categories, priority levels, funding sources and amounts, outcomes/objectives, goal outcome indicators, units of measure, targets, actual outcomes/outputs, and percentage completed for each of the grantee’s program year goals.

Goal	Category	Source / Amount	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Expected – Strategic Plan	Actual – Strategic Plan	Percent Complete	Expected – Program Year	Actual – Program Year	Percent Complete
CDBG DR		CDBG-DR: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	250000	200008	80.00%			
CDBG DR		CDBG-DR: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted	150000	100045	66.70%			
CDBG DR		CDBG-DR: \$	Other	Other	0	0				
State CDBG Program	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	1500000	227720	15.18%	105000	103420	98.50%
State CDBG Program	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted	300000	111387	37.13%	50000	52261	104.52%

State CDBG Program	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Jobs created/retained	Jobs	850	594	69.88%	30	436	1,453.33%
State CDBG Program	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Other	Other	100000	51780	51.78%	25000	32364	129.46%
State ESG Program	Homeless	ESG: \$	Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid Rehousing	Households Assisted	6000	2747	45.78%	1400	756	54.00%
State ESG Program	Homeless	ESG: \$	Homeless Person Overnight Shelter	Persons Assisted	25000	10482	41.93%	5000	2047	40.94%
State ESG Program	Homeless	ESG: \$	Overnight/Emergency Shelter/Transitional Housing Beds added	Beds	0	64				
State ESG Program	Homeless	ESG: \$	Homelessness Prevention	Persons Assisted	4500	5105	113.44%	1150	781	67.91%
State ESG Program	Homeless	ESG: \$	Jobs created/retained	Jobs		0				
State HOME Program	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$	Rental units constructed	Household Housing Unit	260	204	78.46%	52	181	348.08%
State HOME Program	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$	Rental units rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	205	135	65.85%	41	25	60.98%
State HOME Program	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$	Homeowner Housing Added	Household Housing Unit	365	0	0.00%	73	0	0.00%

State HOME Program	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$	Direct Financial Assistance to Homebuyers	Households Assisted	855	220	25.73%	171	20	11.70%
State HOPWA Program	Non-Homeless Special Needs	HOPWA: \$	Housing for People with HIV/AIDS added	Household Housing Unit	425	255	60.00%	85	80	94.12%
State National Housing Trust Fund	Affordable Housing Homeless Non-Homeless Special Needs Extremely Low Income households	HTF: \$	Rental units constructed	Household Housing Unit	100	110	110.00%	30	34	113.33%
State National Housing Trust Fund	Affordable Housing Homeless Non-Homeless Special Needs Extremely Low Income households	HTF: \$	Rental units rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	185	2	1.08%	27	0	0.00%

Table 1 - Accomplishments – Program Year & Strategic Plan to Date

Assess how the jurisdiction’s use of funds, particularly CDBG, addresses the priorities and specific objectives identified in the plan, giving special attention to the highest priority activities identified.

Under the State's CDBG program the major priorities are Water and Wastewater projects, Economic Development, Other Public Facilities (not

water and wastewater projects) and Planning and Capacity Building. Strategically, the State allocates approximately 45 percent to Water and Wastewater projects, 20% toward economic development, 30% toward other public facilities and the remaining for local planning. With regards to water and wastewater projects, priority emphasis is given to those communities that are experiencing severe compliance problems as determined by Consent Orders issued by the Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality. With regard to other projects, funds are targeted to the highest low to moderate income communities.

CR-10 - Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted

Describe the families assisted (including the racial and ethnic status of families assisted).

91.520(a)

	CDBG	HOME	HOPWA	ESG	HTF
White	0	155	17	1,323	27
Black or African American	0	18	1	448	5
Asian	0	0	0	14	0
American Indian or American Native	0	6	0	262	4
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	15	0	6	1
Total	0	194	18	2,053	37
Hispanic	0	9	0	260	0
Not Hispanic	0	185	18	2,005	37

Table 2 – Table of assistance to racial and ethnic populations by source of funds

Narrative

In order to achieve compliance with the Affirmative Marketing, Minority Outreach, and Fair Housing requirements at 24 CFR Parts 92.350 and 92.351, Written Agreements shall be executed between OHFA and all Program Awardees. Written Agreements shall prohibit discrimination on the basis of race, color, national origin, religion, sex, age, handicap, or familial status in connection with any activities funded with the HOME Investment Partnerships Program assistance.

CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a)

Identify the resources made available

Source of Funds	Source	Resources Made Available	Amount Expended During Program Year
CDBG	public - federal	14,773,942	9,947,592
HOME	public - federal	8,680,193	6,387,428
HOPWA	public - federal	416,750	321,895
ESG	public - federal	1,665,623	1,517,490
HTF	public - federal	3,000,000	610,799

Table 3 - Resources Made Available

Narrative

Typically other resources provided/matched with CDBG funds include: State appropriated funds from the Rural Economic Action Plan (REAP) and local funds from units of general local governments. Also funds from the USDA-Rural Development and revolving loan funds from the Oklahoma Water Resources Board.

Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Target Area	Planned Percentage of Allocation	Actual Percentage of Allocation	Narrative Description
State of Oklahoma	100	100	State Geography

Table 4 – Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Narrative

The State of Oklahoma does not set aside reserved dollar amounts of assistance specifically for geographic areas within the State for CDBG, HOME, ESG or HOPWA programs. Rather each of these programs has its own distribution procedures that sometimes include specific requirements for projects within the state. These programs cover all 77 Counties.

Leveraging

Explain how federal funds leveraged additional resources (private, state and local funds), including a description of how matching requirements were satisfied, as well as how any publicly owned land or property located within the jurisdiction that were used to address the needs identified in the plan.

CDBG-Proposed leverage must be directly related to any proposed project. Some CDBG projects are awarded "points" based on verified leverage. Leverage may consist of cash or in-kind contributions. Cash includes other federal/state grants and loans and local capital improvement funds set-aside for a specified purpose in a municipal or county budget. Cash also includes the fair market value of land, building or materials portion of infrastructure improvements. In-kind includes the value of force account labor, voluntary labor or the services and supplies provided by another entity.

ESG - Proposed leverage is provided by the subrecipients in the form of In-kind services, volunteer labor and community donations. Leverage may also be provided through private foundation grants or qualifying federal funding. Points are awarded during the application scoring process to those applicants that can show they have match through various community resources. The subrecipients are required to track all leverage and spend leverage funds using the same regulations used to spend the actual grants funds.

Fiscal Year Summary – HOME Match	
1. Excess match from prior Federal fiscal year	4,755,190
2. Match contributed during current Federal fiscal year	100,000
3. Total match available for current Federal fiscal year (Line 1 plus Line 2)	4,855,190
4. Match liability for current Federal fiscal year	0
5. Excess match carried over to next Federal fiscal year (Line 3 minus Line 4)	4,855,190

Table 5 – Fiscal Year Summary - HOME Match Report

Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year								
Project No. or Other ID	Date of Contribution	Cash (non-Federal sources)	Foregone Taxes, Fees, Charges	Appraised Land/Real Property	Required Infrastructure	Site Preparation, Construction Materials, Donated labor	Bond Financing	Total Match
16-1547	10/01/2020	0	0	0	0	100,000	0	100,000

Table 6 – Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year

HOME MBE/WBE report

Program Income – Enter the program amounts for the reporting period				
Balance on hand at begin-ning of reporting period \$	Amount received during reporting period \$	Total amount expended during reporting period \$	Amount expended for TBRA \$	Balance on hand at end of reporting period \$
16,735	299,614	284,682	0	31,667

Table 7 – Program Income

Minority Business Enterprises and Women Business Enterprises – Indicate the number and dollar value of contracts for HOME projects completed during the reporting period						
	Total	Minority Business Enterprises				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Contracts						
Dollar Amount	3,597,254	0	0	0	0	3,597,254
Number	20	0	0	0	0	20
Sub-Contracts						
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dollar Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	Women Business Enterprises	Male			
Contracts						
Dollar Amount	3,597,254	0	3,597,254			
Number	20	0	20			
Sub-Contracts						
Number	0	0	0			
Dollar Amount	0	0	0			

Table 8 - Minority Business and Women Business Enterprises

Minority Owners of Rental Property – Indicate the number of HOME assisted rental property owners and the total amount of HOME funds in these rental properties assisted						
	Total	Minority Property Owners				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dollar Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 9 – Minority Owners of Rental Property

Relocation and Real Property Acquisition – Indicate the number of persons displaced, the cost of relocation payments, the number of parcels acquired, and the cost of acquisition						
Parcels Acquired		5		529,120		
Businesses Displaced		0		0		
Nonprofit Organizations Displaced		0		0		
Households Temporarily Relocated, not Displaced		3		1,300		
Households Displaced	Total	Minority Property Enterprises				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cost	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 10 – Relocation and Real Property Acquisition

CR-20 - Affordable Housing 91.520(b)

Evaluation of the jurisdiction's progress in providing affordable housing, including the number and types of families served, the number of extremely low-income, low-income, moderate-income, and middle-income persons served.

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	0	0
Number of Non-Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	0	0
Number of Special-Needs households to be provided affordable housing units	0	0
Total	0	0

Table 11 – Number of Households

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of households supported through Rental Assistance	0	0
Number of households supported through The Production of New Units	150	181
Number of households supported through Rehab of Existing Units	41	25
Number of households supported through Acquisition of Existing Units	87	20
Total	278	226

Table 12 – Number of Households Supported

Discuss the difference between goals and outcomes and problems encountered in meeting these goals.

Under the States CDBG program no affordable housing projects have been funded. Under the States CDBG program no affordable housing projects have been funded. The State's HOME program biggest challenge is not enough funds to support the housing needs of the State. The HOME program awards are based on the area with the biggest need. The HOME program continues to face the biggest challenge in the State, a need for more rental housing.

Discuss how these outcomes will impact future annual action plans.

Under the States CDBG program no affordable housing projects have been funded. Under the States HOME program no affordable housing projects have been funded. In the future, the State's HOME program will continue to award funds where there is the greatest need. A majority of the allocation will continue to be used on Rental housing. If that need changes, the State will change it's future plans accordingly.

Include the number of extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income persons served by each activity where information on income by family size is required to determine the eligibility of the activity.

Number of Households Served	CDBG Actual	HOME Actual	HTF Actual
Extremely Low-income	0	1	34
Low-income	0	0	
Moderate-income	0	3	
Total	0	4	

Table 13 – Number of Households Served

Narrative Information

All HTF funds that OHFA receives in 2020 will be used to provide housing for Extremely Low Income families or families at or below the Poverty Line, whichever is greater

CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c)

Evaluate the jurisdiction's progress in meeting its specific objectives for reducing and ending homelessness through:

Reaching out to homeless persons (especially unsheltered persons) and assessing their individual needs

The State program funds a variety of organizations whose programs meet key needs of their Continuum of Care Action Plans and communities. Points are awarded during the application scoring process to applicants who describe the services they provide in regard to reaching out to the homeless in their service areas. Then, the State evaluates each Organization's success beginning with program descriptions submitted in grant applications through generating contracts and then monitoring the program to insure the steps described in the application and contract were successful and performance measures met.

Addressing the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons

The State program funds a variety of organizations whose programs meet key needs of their Continuum of Care Action Plans and communities. Points are awarded during the application scoring process to applicants who describe the services they provide in regard to emergency shelter services in their service areas. Then, the State evaluates each Organization's success beginning with program descriptions submitted in grant applications through generating contracts and then monitoring the program to insure the steps described in the application and contract were successful and performance measures were met.

Helping low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless, especially extremely low-income individuals and families and those who are: likely to become homeless after being discharged from publicly funded institutions and systems of care (such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions); and, receiving assistance from public or private agencies that address housing, health, social services, employment, education, or youth needs

The State program funds a variety of organizations whose programs meet key needs of their Continuum of Care Action Plans and communities. Points are awarded during the application scoring process to applicants who describe the partnerships and collaborations they have with mainstream and local resources in an effort to not duplicate and expand services they provide to the low-income and families avoiding homelessness in their service areas. Then the State evaluates each Organization's success beginning with program descriptions submitted in grant applications through generating contracts and then monitoring the program to insure the steps described in the application and contract were successful and performance measures were met.

Helping homeless persons (especially chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth) make the transition to permanent housing and independent living, including shortening the period of time that individuals and families experience homelessness, facilitating access for homeless individuals and families to affordable housing units, and preventing individuals and families who were recently homeless from becoming homeless again

The State of Oklahoma makes available a percentage of its HOME program funds to be paired with Continuum of Care funding. The state works closely with HUD C of C staff to make these projects work.

There are two HOPWA Project Sponsors that coordinate services with COC to meet the needs of all categories of homelessness individuals that meet the eligibility criteria under the HOPWA Program guidelines.

The State of Oklahoma encourages the pairing of Housing Trust Fund monies with Continuum of Care funding.

ESG: The State program funds a variety of organizations whose programs meet key needs of their Continuum of Care Action Plans and communities. Points are awarded during the application scoring process to applicants who describe the partnerships and collaborations they have with mainstream and local resources in an effort to not duplicate and expand services they provide to the low-income and families avoiding homelessness in their service areas. Then the State evaluates each Organization's success beginning with program descriptions submitted in grant applications through generating contracts and then monitoring the program to insure the steps described in the application and contract were successful and performance measures were met.

CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)

Actions taken to address the needs of public housing

OHFA is itself a public housing agency that administers the Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program on a Statewide basis. OHFA also monitors the needs and activities of the other public housing agencies in the State of Oklahoma.

Actions taken to encourage public housing residents to become more involved in management and participate in homeownership

Efforts to collaborate more extensively with PHAs within the State of Oklahoma are underway. There are a total of 104 PHAs and 99 currently fall within the jurisdiction of the State Consolidated Plan. The five remaining public housing agencies – located in Norman, Lawton, Tulsa, Oklahoma City, and Shawnee – fall under other participating jurisdictions.

PHAs in Oklahoma provide resident involvement and awareness activities in connection with property management and asset-building through homeownership. This is accomplished through such activities as:

- Having housing authority residents serve on each PHA's Board of Commissioners.
- Affording residents notice and comment periods concerning proposed policy changes governing property operations.
- Affording residents input and comment processes regarding resource use.

Additionally, the Residents Initiative Network of Oklahoma is a proposed initiative that will offer a statewide program to train PHA residents in:

- Accessing and utilizing the citizen participation processes offered by the Authorities;
- Resident rights, duties and responsibilities; and
- Transitioning from rental to homeownership. Various PHAs within the State promote homeownership to their resident base.

Homeownership fairs, credit counseling, and direct contact with mortgage lenders and credit reporting services are examples of homeownership resident initiatives. On a limited basis, some PHAs develop their own single-family units and work to transition residents into ownership.

Actions taken to provide assistance to troubled PHAs

OHFA will wait to hear from HUD regarding whether or not there are currently any troubled public housing authorities.

OHFA will work closely with HUD to provide technical assistance and oversight where necessary. **It is**

not anticipated that any HOME funds will be used to help troubled public housing authorities. The State of Oklahoma has not appropriated funds for this purpose, nor has it authorized OHFA to assume the federal government's role of subsidizing the operations of public housing agencies.

CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)

Actions taken to remove or ameliorate the negative effects of public policies that serve as barriers to affordable housing such as land use controls, tax policies affecting land, zoning ordinances, building codes, fees and charges, growth limitations, and policies affecting the return on residential investment. 91.220 (j); 91.320 (i)

With regard to the CDBG and ESG programs ODOC/CD does not have jurisdictional authority to affect broad-based changes to a community's local ordinances. Additionally, from a statewide perspective the State Legislature is responsible for creating laws and regulations that may govern tax policies, fees and basic building codes, etc.

Actions taken to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The primary obstacle to meeting under-served needs is the lack of adequate funding. There are also some secondary obstacles with regard to the State's ability to coordinate resources in the most efficient and effective manner.

The State's strategy to overcome existing deficiencies in its housing and community institutional structure is based on education, outreach, and comprehensive planning. Consumers, providers, financiers, policy makers, advocates, and communities can benefit from increased cross-sector communication, dialogue, and education in understanding that economic factors are inextricably linked with meaningful solutions to the housing and community development needs and improved quality of life among the lower-income population.

Actions taken to reduce lead-based paint hazards. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

HOME Awardees must comply with:

- Requirements for Notification, Evaluation and Reduction of Lead-Based Paint Hazards in Federally Owned Residential Property and Housing Receiving Federal Assistance; Final Rule (24 CFR Part 35, et al.), and
- Oklahoma Lead-based Paint Management Act, 27A O.S. Supp 1994, Section 2-12-101 *et seq.*, as amended.
- Pamphlet: Protect Your Family From Lead In Your Home
- Summary of Lead-Based Paint Requirements by Activity
- Lead Safe Housing Rule
- The Renovation, Repair & Painting Rule
- Pamphlet: Renovate Right; Important Lead Hazard Information for Families, Child Care Providers and Schools

Actions taken to reduce the number of poverty-level families. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Within the CDBG program ODOC/CD funds Economic Development projects. This program provides funding for communities to undertake publicly owned infrastructure to support a business that is expanding and committed to creating new jobs. Under the CDBG program at least 51% of the jobs created must be offered to persons who are of low to moderate income. ODOC/CD has implemented an additional requirement which requires that the average of all jobs created must be at least 110% of the average county wage. Therefore, under this program low income persons/families are being hired into a better, higher paying job, which is usually higher than the average county wage.

The State of Oklahoma is committed to allocating appropriate resources with the goal of allowing its citizens the opportunity to reach self-sufficiency.

Actions taken to develop institutional structure. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Under the state's CDBG program most funding goes to small rural communities who lack the administrative capacity to implement CDBG project without help. ODOC/CD has developed over the years a strong relationship with the 11 designated Councils of Government. These regionally based organizations provide much needed administrative knowledge of the CDBG program to our rural communities. Additionally, ODOC/CD requires all individuals who administer grants for a fee must be certified as CDBG grant administrator.

Actions taken to enhance coordination between public and private housing and social service agencies. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Under the current CDBG program housing projects are not funded. Therefore, no real coordination exists between public and private housing and social service agencies regarding the CDBG program.

Identify actions taken to overcome the effects of any impediments identified in the jurisdictions analysis of impediments to fair housing choice. 91.520(a)

Under the State CDBG program grant recipients (units of general local government) must provide documentation of steps taken to affirmatively further fair housing, regardless of the type of CDBG activity funded by ODOC/CD for each fiscal year the grant recipient has received a CDBG contract. The local government must demonstrate that it is affirmatively furthering fair housing on a continual basis, not merely as a requirement of spending CDBG dollars. Efforts should be made to identify discriminatory housing patterns and alleviate them by working with developer, landlords, realtors, residents and government agencies.

CR-40 - Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230

Describe the standards and procedures used to monitor activities carried out in furtherance of the plan and used to ensure long-term compliance with requirements of the programs involved, including minority business outreach and the comprehensive planning requirements

Citizen Participation Plan 91.105(d); 91.115(d)

Describe the efforts to provide citizens with reasonable notice and an opportunity to comment on performance reports.

The State has adopted a citizen participation and consultation process for the Consolidated Plan and CAPER. Because the applicable programs cover the entire State, announcements are sent primarily through the New Pioneer which is ODOCs Community Development newsletter. This letter reaches all 600 communities, 77 Counties, Community Action Agencies, Public Housing Authorities, Council of Governments, and other state agencies. The New Pioneer reaches approximately 6000 email addresses.

CR-45 - CDBG 91.520(c)

Specify the nature of, and reasons for, any changes in the jurisdiction’s program objectives and indications of how the jurisdiction would change its programs as a result of its experiences.

At this time within the CDBG program the State is not changing any of its overall program objectives. However, the grant maximums for Water and Wastewater projects have been increased from \$350,000 to \$450,000. The grant maximum has not been increased in approximately 12 years. The increase is needed to accommodate the increase in construction costs of water and wastewater projects.

Does this Jurisdiction have any open Brownfields Economic Development Initiative (BEDI) grants?

No

[BEDI grantees] Describe accomplishments and program outcomes during the last year.

CR-50 - HOME 91.520(d)

Include the results of on-site inspections of affordable rental housing assisted under the program to determine compliance with housing codes and other applicable regulations

Please list those projects that should have been inspected on-site this program year based upon the schedule in §92.504(d). Indicate which of these were inspected and a summary of issues that were detected during the inspection. For those that were not inspected, please indicate the reason and how you will remedy the situation.

OHFA will verify that the Awardee of a low-income housing Project is maintaining records for each qualified low-income unit in the Project. These records must show, for each year in the compliance period, the information required by the record-keeping provisions contained in the HOME Regulations, incorporated herein by reference.

OHFA will verify that the records documenting compliance with the HOME Regulations for each year as described in Paragraph A above are retained for the entire affordability period.

OHFA will conduct construction inspections in order to ensure that HOME funds are not being drawn down for work that has not been completed, work that has not been done according to the specifications of the Written Agreement, or costs that are ineligible for HOME funding.

OHFA will inspect one hundred percent (100%) of the HOME Written Agreements as prescribed by HUD regulations and will inspect the low-income certification, the documentation the Awardee has received to support that certification, the rent records for Rental Projects and the home valuation limits for Homebuyer Projects.

OHFA inspected over 175 written agreements during program year 2019 that represent 400 units. The detail listing of all the contracts and the corresponding findings can be found on the monitoring list spreadsheet that is available for review. Due to COVID-19 the Compliance Staff was not able to conduct on-site inspections in March of 2020.

Provide an assessment of the jurisdiction's affirmative marketing actions for HOME units. 92.351(b)

In order to achieve compliance with the Affirmative Marketing, Minority Outreach, and Fair Housing requirements at 24 CFR Parts 92.350 and 92.351, Written Agreements shall be executed between OHFA and all Program Awardees. Written Agreements shall prohibit discrimination on the basis of race, color, national origin, religion, sex, age, handicap, or familial status in connection with any activities funded with HOME Investment Partnerships Program assistance.

Refer to IDIS reports to describe the amount and use of program income for projects,

including the number of projects and owner and tenant characteristics

OHFA has received approximately \$300,000 of program income during 2020. Most of the program income generated is from projects combined with LIHTC funds. The \$300,000 of program income was generated by approx 15 projects. The program income is used before any new HOME funds are drawdown. OHFA maintains a program income re-use log that shows the activities that uses program income.

Describe other actions taken to foster and maintain affordable housing. 91.220(k) (STATES ONLY: Including the coordination of LIHTC with the development of affordable housing). 91.320(j)

Oklahoma has a thorough network of public and private nonprofit organizations that delivers housing and supportive service needs. Many federal as well as State resources are provided through these entities directly to populations with supportive needs.

To attract and incorporate the programs and products offered through the supportive services network with the provision of affordable housing, many public intervention and private affordable housing resource providers encourage the use of development partnerships in their project selection systems. The State intends to continue its coordination of appropriate supportive services with its affordable housing activities through the use of funding selection methodologies.

CR-55 - HOPWA 91.520(e)

Identify the number of individuals assisted and the types of assistance provided

Table for report on the one-year goals for the number of households provided housing through the use of HOPWA activities for: short-term rent, mortgage, and utility assistance payments to prevent homelessness of the individual or family; tenant-based rental assistance; and units provided in housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA funds.

Number of Households Served Through:	One-year Goal	Actual
Short-term rent, mortgage, and utility assistance to prevent homelessness of the individual or family	40	11
Tenant-based rental assistance	45	5
Units provided in permanent housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA funds	0	2
Units provided in transitional short-term housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA funds	0	0

Table 14 – HOPWA Number of Households Served

Narrative

OHFA has designated its HOPWA Program to support:

- Project or tenant-based rental assistance, including assistance for group housing;
- Short-term emergency rent and utility payments to prevent eviction or loss of services;
- Supportive services which include, but are not limited to: health and mental health, substance abuse, child care, assessment and case management, nutrition, intensive medical care, assistance in accessing federal, state, and local programs, and assistance in locating permanent housing;
- Resource identification to establish, coordinate, and develop housing assistance to eligible persons;
- Technical assistance in establishing and operating a community residence, including planning and other pre-construction activities;
- Operating costs for housing;
- Acquisition, rehabilitation, conversion, or lease of buildings used in the project; and
- Administrative expenses.

CR-56 - HTF 91.520(h)

Describe the extent to which the grantee complied with its approved HTF allocation plan and the requirements of 24 CFR part 93.

All HTF funds that OHFA receives in 2020 will be used to provide housing for Extremely Low Income families or families at or below the Poverty Line, whichever is greater. In accordance with 24 CFR Part 93, OHFA will allocate 10% of its grant to program planning and administration costs (\$300,000); up to one third for funding operating cost reserves; the balance of the grant will provide capital funding for new construction or rehabilitation of housing units.

Tenure Type	0 – 30% AMI	0% of 30+ to poverty line (when poverty line is higher than 30% AMI)	% of the higher of 30+ AMI or poverty line to 50% AMI	Total Occupied Units	Units Completed, Not Occupied	Total Completed Units
Rental	32	2	0	34	0	34
Homebuyer	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 15 - CR-56 HTF Units in HTF activities completed during the period

CR-60 - ESG 91.520(g) (ESG Recipients only)

ESG Supplement to the CAPER in *e-snaps*

For Paperwork Reduction Act

1. Recipient Information—All Recipients Complete

Basic Grant Information

Recipient Name OKLAHOMA
Organizational DUNS Number 824700058
EIN/TIN Number 736017987
Identify the Field Office OKLAHOMA CITY
Identify CoC(s) in which the recipient or subrecipient(s) will provide ESG assistance North Central Oklahoma CoC

ESG Contact Name

Prefix Mr
First Name Marshall

CAPER

26

Middle Name 0
Last Name Vogts
Suffix 0
Title Division Director

ESG Contact Address

Street Address 1 900 N. Stiles
Street Address 2 0
City Oklahoma City
State OK
ZIP Code 73104-3234
Phone Number 4058155339
Extension 0
Fax Number 0
Email Address marshall.vogts@okcommerce.gov

ESG Secondary Contact

Prefix
First Name
Last Name
Suffix
Title
Phone Number
Extension
Email Address

2. Reporting Period—All Recipients Complete

Program Year Start Date 04/01/2020
Program Year End Date 03/31/2021

3a. Subrecipient Form – Complete one form for each subrecipient

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: GREAT PLAINS IMPROVEMENT FOUNDATION, INC
City: Lawton
State: OK
Zip Code: 73501, 2409
DUNS Number: 070845706
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 118358.16

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT SUPPORT ASSOCIATION

City: Enid

State: OK

Zip Code: 73701, 4670

DUNS Number:

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 54699

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: CENTRAL OKLAHOMA COMMUNITY ACTION AGENCY

City: Shawnee

State: OK

Zip Code: 74801, 7019

DUNS Number: 094776655

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 36492

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: YOUTH AND FAMILY SERVICES, INC. (EL RENO)

City: El Reno

State: OK

Zip Code: 73036, 9120

DUNS Number: 168582302

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 61851.5

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: NORTHWEST DOMESTIC CRISIS SERVICES, INC.

City: Woodward

State: OK

Zip Code: 73801, 2825

DUNS Number: 867237471

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 123703

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: KI BOIS Community Action Foundation, Inc.

City: Stigler

State: OK

Zip Code: 74462, 0727

DUNS Number: 119765923

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 90604

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Safenet Services, Inc

City: Claremore

State: OK

Zip Code: 74018, 0446

DUNS Number: 021111057

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 63459

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Lawton Support Services, Inc.

City: Lawton

State: OK

Zip Code: 73501, 4540

DUNS Number: 044424430

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 41932

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: INCA Community Services, Inc.

City: Tishomingo

State: OK

Zip Code: 73460, 1605

DUNS Number: 119850428

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 90605

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Ada Homeless Shelter

City: Ada

State: OK

Zip Code: 74820, 3267

DUNS Number:

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 80000

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Northern Oklahoma Youth Services Center and Shelter, Inc.

City: Ponca City

State: OK

Zip Code: 74601, 1108

DUNS Number: 197399348

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 54699.25

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Payne County Youth Services, Inc.

City: Stillwater

State: OK

Zip Code: 74076, 2647

DUNS Number: 168591501

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 54699.25

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Food and Shelter, Inc.

City: Norman

State: OK

Zip Code: 73071, 5609

DUNS Number: 800338628

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 101243.5

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Thunderbird Clubhouse
City: Norman
State: OK
Zip Code: 73071, 2967
DUNS Number: 28324650
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 76243.5

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Marie Detty Youth and Family Services, Inc.
City: Lawton
State: OK
Zip Code: 73501, 4016
DUNS Number: 605081462
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 108265.1

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Deep Fork CAF Inc.
City: Okmulgee
State: OK
Zip Code: 74447, 5017
DUNS Number: 119737203
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 90605

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Seminole County DV Taskforce
City: Seminole
State: OK
Zip Code: 74868, 3158
DUNS Number: 021883207
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 25359.12

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Stillwater Housing Development Corporation

City: Stillwater

State: OK

Zip Code: 74074, 4707

DUNS Number: 79929053

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 54699.25

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Northeast Oklahoma Community Action Agency

City: Jay

State: OK

Zip Code: 74346, 2704

DUNS Number: 088270756

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 190377

CR-65 - Persons Assisted

4. Persons Served

4a. Complete for Homelessness Prevention Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	442
Children	339
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	781

Table 16 – Household Information for Homeless Prevention Activities

4b. Complete for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	515
Children	241
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	756

Table 17 – Household Information for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

4c. Complete for Shelter

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	1,656
Children	388
Don't Know/Refused/Other	1
Missing Information	2
Total	2,047

Table 18 – Shelter Information

4d. Street Outreach

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	1
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	1

Table 19 – Household Information for Street Outreach

4e. Totals for all Persons Served with ESG

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	2,614
Children	968
Don't Know/Refused/Other	1
Missing Information	2
Total	3,585

Table 20 – Household Information for Persons Served with ESG

5. Gender—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Male	344
Female	1,055
Transgender	1
Don't Know/Refused/Other	2
Missing Information	0
Total	1,402

Table 21 – Gender Information

6. Age—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Under 18	847
18-24	154
25 and over	1,217
Don't Know/Refused/Other	11
Missing Information	21
Total	2,250

Table 22 – Age Information

7. Special Populations Served—Complete for All Activities

Number of Persons in Households

Subpopulation	Total	Total Persons Served – Prevention	Total Persons Served – RRH	Total Persons Served in Emergency Shelters
Veterans	44	0	0	0
Victims of Domestic Violence	936	0	0	0
Elderly	59	0	0	0
HIV/AIDS	0	0	0	0
Chronically Homeless	40	0	0	0
Persons with Disabilities:				
Severely Mentally Ill	254	0	0	0
Chronic Substance Abuse	34	0	0	0
Other Disability	174	0	0	0
Total (Unduplicated if possible)	0	0	0	0

Table 23 – Special Population Served

CR-70 – ESG 91.520(g) - Assistance Provided and Outcomes

10. Shelter Utilization

Number of New Units - Rehabbed	64
Number of New Units - Conversion	2
Total Number of bed-nights available	61,761
Total Number of bed-nights provided	34,564
Capacity Utilization	55.96%

Table 24 – Shelter Capacity

11. Project Outcomes Data measured under the performance standards developed in consultation with the CoC(s)

CR-75 – Expenditures

11. Expenditures

11a. ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2018	2019	2020
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	0	175,412	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	0	53,231	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services	0	38,663	0
Expenditures for Homeless Prevention under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	21,797	0
Subtotal Homelessness Prevention	0	289,103	0

Table 25 – ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

11b. ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2018	2019	2020
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	0	331,621	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	0	87,604	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services	0	101,444	0
Expenditures for Homeless Assistance under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	25,797	0
Subtotal Rapid Re-Housing	0	546,466	0

Table 26 – ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

11c. ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2018	2019	2020
Essential Services	0	246,101	0
Operations	0	308,494	0
Renovation	0	0	0

Major Rehab	0	0	0
Conversion	0	0	0
Subtotal	0	554,595	0

Table 27 – ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

11d. Other Grant Expenditures

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2018	2019	2020
Street Outreach	0	1,859	0
HMIS	0	74,933	0
Administration	0	50,534	0

Table 28 - Other Grant Expenditures

11e. Total ESG Grant Funds

Total ESG Funds Expended	2018	2019	2020
	0	1,517,490	0

Table 29 - Total ESG Funds Expended

11f. Match Source

	2018	2019	2020
Other Non-ESG HUD Funds	0	197,875	0
Other Federal Funds	0	70,005	0
State Government	0	349,192	0
Local Government	0	126,030	0

Private Funds	0	99,839	0
Other	0	755,224	0
Fees	0	0	0
Program Income	0	86,670	0
Total Match Amount	0	1,684,835	0

Table 30 - Other Funds Expended on Eligible ESG Activities

11g. Total

Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities	2018	2019	2020
	0	3,202,325	0

Table 31 - Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities