

## CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes

### **Progress the jurisdiction has made in carrying out its strategic plan and its action plan. 91.520(a)**

This could be an overview that includes major initiatives and highlights that were proposed and executed throughout the program year.

The state continues to address the four major priorities under the Community Development Block Grant Program: 1. Water and wastewater projects, 2. Economic Development, 3. Public Facilities (not W/S projects) and 4. Planning and Capacity building.

Approximately 50% of all funds go toward addressing water and wastewater projects. Higher consideration is given to those communities that may be under a consent order from the Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality. Also higher consideration is given to those communities with higher low to moderate income percentages.

Approximately 20% of funds go toward addressing publicly owned infrastructure projects that support in expanding business and creating jobs for low to moderate income persons. During this program year 119 jobs were created with 49 being LMI.

OHFA received \$5,653,045 of HOME funds for program year 2017. This represents a slight decrease from 2016. The range of activities planned for 2017 is similar to that of Program Year 2017. OHFA continues its strong emphasis on rental activities as opposed to homeownership activities.

The depressed real estate market and the record number of foreclosures over the past few years have driven the demand for affordable rental housing to record levels. In 2011 the Affordable Housing Committee, formed as a partnership between the Oklahoma Housing Finance Agency (OHFA) and a variety of its partners and stakeholders, conducted a statewide survey of cities and towns, as well as non-profit and for-profit housing providers, and received nearly 140 responses. By far the most commonly cited housing problems were a lack of personal funds for housing, and a lack of affordable housing, especially rental housing. With that being said, OHFA allocated over 40% of its 2017 allocation to rental housing.

**Comparison of the proposed versus actual outcomes for each outcome measure submitted with the consolidated plan and explain, if applicable, why progress was not made toward meeting goals and objectives. 91.520(g)**

Categories, priority levels, funding sources and amounts, outcomes/objectives, goal outcome indicators, units of measure, targets, actual outcomes/outputs, and percentage completed for each of the grantee’s program year goals.

Goal	Category	Source / Amount	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Expected – Strategic Plan	Actual – Strategic Plan	Percent Complete	Expected – Program Year	Actual – Program Year	Percent Complete
National Housing Trust Fund	Affordable Housing Homeless Non-Homeless Special Needs Extremely Low Income households	Housing Trust Fund: \$	Rental units constructed	Household Housing Unit	75	0	0.00%	9		%

National Housing Trust Fund	Affordable Housing Homeless Non-Homeless Special Needs Extremely Low Income households	Housing Trust Fund: \$	Rental units rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	90	0	0.00%	39	0	0.00%
State CDBG Disaster Recovery (Non Annual Funding)	Affordable Housing Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$8505649.5 / CDBG Disaster Recovery: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	1000	91769	9,176.90%	8000	30589	382.36%
State CDBG Disaster Recovery (Non Annual Funding)	Affordable Housing Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$8505649.5 / CDBG Disaster Recovery: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted	10000	21790	217.90%	2000	7263	363.15%
State CDBG Disaster Recovery (Non Annual Funding)	Affordable Housing Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$8505649.5 / CDBG Disaster Recovery: \$	Rental units constructed	Household Housing Unit	40	23	57.50%			
State CDBG Program - Econ Dev	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Jobs created/retained	Jobs	100	230	230.00%	20	121	605.00%

State CDBG Program - Infrastructure	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	250000	567000	226.80%	25000	78146	312.58%
State CDBG Program - Infrastructure	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted	50000	130582	261.16%			
State CDBG Program - Planning	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Other	Other	100000	77703	77.70%	20000	27842	139.21%
State CDBG Program - State CDBG Admin/TA	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Other	Other	1	1	100.00%	1	1	100.00%
State ESG Program	Homeless	HOME: \$ / ESG: \$1644676	Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid Rehousing	Households Assisted	1400	4460	318.57%	686	1007	146.79%
State ESG Program	Homeless	HOME: \$ / ESG: \$1644676	Homeless Person Overnight Shelter	Persons Assisted	5000	22187	443.74%	4065	3710	91.27%
State ESG Program	Homeless	HOME: \$ / ESG: \$1644676	Overnight/Emergency Shelter/Transitional Housing Beds added	Beds	0	0				

State ESG Program	Homeless	HOME: \$ / ESG: \$1644676	Homelessness Prevention	Persons Assisted	900	6539	726.56%	967	1623	167.84%
State HOME Program	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$	Rental units constructed	Household Housing Unit	80	100	125.00%	2	54	2,700.00%
State HOME Program	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$	Rental units rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	40	55	137.50%	14	21	150.00%
State HOME Program	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$	Homeowner Housing Added	Household Housing Unit	10	5	50.00%	4	1	25.00%
State HOME Program	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$	Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	40	60	150.00%	0	0	
State HOME Program	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$	Direct Financial Assistance to Homebuyers	Households Assisted	200	275	137.50%	55	65	118.18%
State HOPWA Program	Non-Homeless Special Needs	HOPWA: \$	Housing for People with HIV/AIDS added	Household Housing Unit	55	0	0.00%	55	61	110.91%

**Table 1 - Accomplishments – Program Year & Strategic Plan to Date**

**Assess how the jurisdiction’s use of funds, particularly CDBG, addresses the priorities and specific objectives identified in the plan, giving special attention to the highest priority activities identified.**

Under the State's CDBG program the major priorities are Water and Wastewater projects, Economic Development, Other Public Facilities (not

water and wastewater projects) and Planning and Capacity Building. Strategically, the State allocates approximately 45 percent to Water and Wastewater projects, 20% toward economic development, 30% toward other public facilities and the remaining for local planning. With regards to water and wastewater projects, priority emphasis is given to those communities that are experiencing severe compliance problems as determined by Consent Orders issued by the Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality. With regard to other projects, funds are targeted to the highest low to moderate income communities.