



Consolidated Annual Performance Evaluation Report

2021 Draft

Published June 2022

CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes

Progress the jurisdiction has made in carrying out its strategic plan and its action plan. 91.520(a)

This could be an overview that includes major initiatives and highlights that were proposed and executed throughout the program year.

The state continues to address the four major priorities under the Community Development Block Grant Program 1. Water and wastewater projects, 2. Economic Development, 3. Public Facilities (not W/S projects) and 4. Planning and Capacity building. Strategically, the State allocates approximately 50% to Water and Wastewater projects, 20% toward economic development, 30% toward other public facilities and the remaining for local planning. With regards to water and wastewater projects, priority emphasis is given to those communities that are experiencing severe compliance problems as determined by Consent Orders issued by the Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality. With regard to other projects, funds are targeted to the highest low to moderate income communities.

The primary goal of the OHFA HOME Program is to retain and increase the supply of decent, safe, and sanitary affordable housing. OHFA furthers this goal by using the HOME Program financial resources as a catalyst in the development and strengthening of public partnerships with local governments, nonprofit organizations, private sector development entities, financial institutions, and debt and equity capital outlets.

HOME's allocation for 2021 was awarded based on the following percentages. 10% of the allocation was for Administration costs, 5% for CHDO Operating Assistance, at least 25% funded CHDO contracts, 10% funded downpayment assistant contracts, 25% funded and 50% of the allocation was used for Rental/Homeownership contracts. The 2021 HOME allocation produced 205 units of affordable housing.

All HTF funds that OHFA receives in 2021 will be used to provide housing for Extremely Low Income families or families at or below the Poverty Line, whichever is greater. In accordance with 24 CFR Part 93, OHFA will allocate 10% of its grant to program planning and administration costs (\$300,000); up to one third for funding operating cost reserves; the balance of the grant will provide capital funding for new construction or rehabilitation of housing units.

All HOPWA funds will be used to ensure housing for persons living with HIV/AIDS is an essential component of the Continuum of Care approach. Priority will be given to projects that target low-income individuals living with HIV/AIDS in Oklahoma, who are either currently homeless or in eminent danger of becoming homeless

Comparison of the proposed versus actual outcomes for each outcome measure submitted with the consolidated plan and explain, if applicable, why progress was not made toward meeting goals and objectives. 91.520(g)

Categories, priority levels, funding sources and amounts, outcomes/objectives, goal outcome indicators, units of measure, targets, actual outcomes/outputs, and percentage completed for each of the grantee’s program year goals.

Goal	Category	Source / Amount	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Expected – Strategic Plan	Actual – Strategic Plan	Percent Complete	Expected – Program Year	Actual – Program Year	Percent Complete
CDBG DR		CDBG-DR: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	250000	200008	80.00%			
CDBG DR		CDBG-DR: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted	150000	100045	66.70%			
CDBG DR		CDBG-DR: \$	Other	Other	0	0				
State CDBG Program	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	1500000	336174	22.41%	100000	108454	108.45%

State CDBG Program	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted	300000	174863	58.29%			
State CDBG Program	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Jobs created/retained	Jobs	850	610	71.76%	30	16	53.33%
State CDBG Program	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Other	Other	100000	77510	77.51%	25000	25730	102.92%
State ESG Program	Homeless	ESG: \$	Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid Rehousing	Households Assisted	6000	3573	59.55%	1400	826	59.00%
State ESG Program	Homeless	ESG: \$	Homeless Person Overnight Shelter	Persons Assisted	25000	13526	54.10%	5000	3044	60.88%
State ESG Program	Homeless	ESG: \$	Overnight/Emergency Shelter/Transitional Housing Beds added	Beds	0	82				
State ESG Program	Homeless	ESG: \$	Homelessness Prevention	Persons Assisted	4500	5999	133.31%	1150	894	77.74%
State ESG Program	Homeless	ESG: \$	Jobs created/retained	Jobs		0				
State HOME Program	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$	Rental units constructed	Household Housing Unit	260	204	78.46%	30	37	123.33%
State HOME Program	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$	Rental units rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	205	135	65.85%	10	0	0.00%

State HOME Program	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$	Homeowner Housing Added	Household Housing Unit	0	0				
State HOME Program	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$	Direct Financial Assistance to Homebuyers	Households Assisted	100	80	80.00%	73	26	35.62%
State HOPWA Program	Non-Homeless Special Needs	HOPWA: \$	Housing for People with HIV/AIDS added	Household Housing Unit	400	255	63.75%	85	67	78.82%
State National Housing Trust Fund	Affordable Housing Homeless Non-Homeless Special Needs Extremely Low Income households	HTF: \$	Rental units constructed	Household Housing Unit	100	31	31.00%	10	5	50.00%
State National Housing Trust Fund	Affordable Housing Homeless Non-Homeless Special Needs Extremely Low Income households	HTF: \$	Rental units rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	50	21	42.00%	10	5	50.00%

Table 1 - Accomplishments – Program Year & Strategic Plan to Date

Assess how the jurisdiction’s use of funds, particularly CDBG, addresses the priorities and specific objectives identified in the plan,

giving special attention to the highest priority activities identified.

Under the State's CDBG program the major priorities are 1. Water and wastewater projects, 2. Economic Development, 3. Public Facilities (not W/S projects) and 4. Planning and Capacity building. Strategically, the State allocates approximately 50% to Water and Wastewater projects, 20% toward economic development, 30% toward other public facilities and the remaining for local planning. With regards to water and wastewater projects, priority emphasis is given to those communities that are experiencing severe compliance problems as determined by Consent Orders issued by the Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality. With regard to other projects, funds are targeted to the highest low to moderate income communities.

CR-10 - Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted

Describe the families assisted (including the racial and ethnic status of families assisted).

91.520(a)

	CDBG	HOME	HOPWA	ESG	HTF
White	409	96	40	3,191	40
Black or African American	82	32	13	669	5
Asian	25	0	0	13	0
American Indian or American Native	73	6	8	693	6
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	9	4	0	26	1
Total	598	138	61	4,592	52
Hispanic	0	0	6	3,096	0
Not Hispanic	0	138	0	1,496	5

Table 2 – Table of assistance to racial and ethnic populations by source of funds

Narrative

Under the State CDBG program grant recipients (units of general local government) must provide documentation of steps taken to affirmatively further fair housing regardless of the type of CDBG activity funded by ODOC/CD, for each fiscal year the grant recipient has received a CDBG contract. The local government must demonstrate that it is affirmatively furthering fair housing on a continual basis, not merely as a requirement of spending CDBG dollars. Efforts are to be made to identify discriminatory housing patterns and alleviate them by working with developer, landlords, realtors, residents and government agencies. To ensure these steps are taken to affirmatively further fair housing, ODOC/CD requires the submission of at least one Fair Housing activity with the Release of Funds request, also known as the Environmental Review, in our OKGrants system. Subrecipients are also required to provide proof of furthering Fair Housing during the monitoring process. If these steps are not in compliance, ODOC/CD presents the Subrecipient with a corrective action/finding to remedy the issue and this information will also be considered when conducting Risk Assessments for future funding. The Subrecipient must provide assurances that these steps to affirmatively further fair housing will be in compliance for future projects if awarded. The month of April has also been declared as Fair Housing Month in the State of Oklahoma

In order to achieve compliance with the Affirmative Marketing, Minority Outreach, and Fair Housing requirements at 24 CFR Parts 92.350 and 92.351, Written Agreements shall be executed between OHFA and all Program Awardees. Written Agreements shall prohibit discrimination on the basis of race, color, national origin, religion, sex, age, handicap, or familial status in connection with any activities funded with the HOME Investment Partnerships Program assistance.

CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a)

Identify the resources made available

Source of Funds	Source	Resources Made Available	Amount Expended During Program Year
CDBG	public - federal	15,201,735	10,027,130
HOME	public - federal	8,654,876	6,341,069
HOPWA	public - federal	462,744	361,098
ESG	public - federal	1,693,620	1,693,620
HTF	public - federal	5,251,581	1,821,194
Other	public - federal	47,293,216	

Table 3 - Resources Made Available

Narrative

Typically other resources provided/matched with CDBG funds include: State appropriated funds from the Rural Economic Action Plan (REAP) and local funds from units of general local governments. Also funds from the USDA-Rural Development and revolving loan funds from the Oklahoma Water Resources Board.

Match contributions must meet the definition of eligible Match under the federal program regulations at 24 CFR Part 92. Written, itemized documentation of all proposed Match contributions must be provided and must be in place at the time of application. Specific documentation requirements are detailed in the application. At a minimum, Match documentation must include a signed statement that Match is not from federal sources, as well as documentation of the sources and amounts of commitments.

Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Target Area	Planned Percentage of Allocation	Actual Percentage of Allocation	Narrative Description
State of Oklahoma	100	100	State Geography

Table 4 – Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Narrative

The State of Oklahoma does not set aside reserved dollar amounts of assistance specifically for geographic areas within the State for CDBG, HOME, ESG or HOPWA programs. Rather each of these programs has its own distribution procedures that sometimes include specific requirements for projects within the state. These programs cover all 77 Counties.

Leveraging

Explain how federal funds leveraged additional resources (private, state and local funds), including a description of how matching requirements were satisfied, as well as how any publicly owned land or property located within the jurisdiction that were used to address the needs identified in the plan.

CDBG-Proposed leverage must be directly related to any proposed project and in place at the time of application. Some CDBG projects are awarded "points" based on verified leverage. Leverage may consist of cash or in-kind contributions. Cash includes other federal/state grants and loans and local capital improvement funds set-aside for a specified purpose in a municipal or county budget. Cash also includes the fair market value of land, building or materials portion of infrastructure improvements. In-kind includes the value of force account labor, voluntary labor or the services and supplies provided by another entity.

ESG - Proposed leverage is provided by the subrecipients in the form of In-kind services, volunteer labor and community donations. Leverage may also be provided through private foundation grants or qualifying federal funding. Points are awarded during the application scoring process to those applicants that can show they have match through various community resources. The subrecipients are required to track all leverage and spend leverage funds using the same regulations used to spend the actual grants funds.

HOME - All sources of financing, except HOME, paying development budget costs are potentially eligible for leverage. Assistance for Homebuyers, such as a first mortgage, is not considered leverage.

HTF - All sources of construction or permanent financing, except HTF, paying development budget costs are eligible for leverage points. **If any source of funding provides both construction and permanent financing, it will not be counted twice.**

Public and private resources, such as Rural Housing Incentive Districts, CDBG, AHP, AHTC equity, Historic Tax Credit equity, USDA-RHS, HUD, foundation funds, and private capital will be considered in the leverage analysis.

Fiscal Year Summary – HOME Match	
1. Excess match from prior Federal fiscal year	4,855,190
2. Match contributed during current Federal fiscal year	100,000
3. Total match available for current Federal fiscal year (Line 1 plus Line 2)	4,955,190
4. Match liability for current Federal fiscal year	0
5. Excess match carried over to next Federal fiscal year (Line 3 minus Line 4)	4,955,190

Table 5 – Fiscal Year Summary - HOME Match Report

Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year								
Project No. or Other ID	Date of Contribution	Cash (non-Federal sources)	Foregone Taxes, Fees, Charges	Appraised Land/Real Property	Required Infrastructure	Site Preparation, Construction Materials, Donated labor	Bond Financing	Total Match
16-1547	09/01/2021	0	0	0	0	100,000	0	100,000

Table 6 – Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year

HOME MBE/WBE report

Program Income – Enter the program amounts for the reporting period				
Balance on hand at beginning of reporting period \$	Amount received during reporting period \$	Total amount expended during reporting period \$	Amount expended for TBRA \$	Balance on hand at end of reporting period \$
31,667	257,988	285,117	0	4,539

Table 7 – Program Income

Minority Business Enterprises and Women Business Enterprises – Indicate the number and dollar value of contracts for HOME projects completed during the reporting period						
	Total	Minority Business Enterprises				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Contracts						
Dollar Amount	658,472	0	0	35,627	3,578	619,267
Number	27	0	0	9	1	17
Sub-Contracts						
Number	2	1	0	0	0	1
Dollar Amount	18,814	13,319	0	0	0	5,495
	Total	Women Business Enterprises	Male			
Contracts						
Dollar Amount	658,472	2,000	656,472			
Number	27	2	25			
Sub-Contracts						
Number	2	1	1			
Dollar Amount	18,814	13,319	5,495			

Table 8 - Minority Business and Women Business Enterprises

Minority Owners of Rental Property – Indicate the number of HOME assisted rental property owners and the total amount of HOME funds in these rental properties assisted						
	Total	Minority Property Owners				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dollar Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 9 – Minority Owners of Rental Property

Relocation and Real Property Acquisition – Indicate the number of persons displaced, the cost of relocation payments, the number of parcels acquired, and the cost of acquisition

Parcels Acquired		0	0			
Businesses Displaced		0	0			
Nonprofit Organizations Displaced		0	0			
Households Temporarily Relocated, not Displaced		0	0			
Households Displaced	Total	Minority Property Enterprises				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cost	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 10 – Relocation and Real Property Acquisition

CR-20 - Affordable Housing 91.520(b)

Evaluation of the jurisdiction's progress in providing affordable housing, including the number and types of families served, the number of extremely low-income, low-income, moderate-income, and middle-income persons served.

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	0	0
Number of Non-Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	0	0
Number of Special-Needs households to be provided affordable housing units	0	0
Total	0	0

Table 11 – Number of Households

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of households supported through Rental Assistance	0	0
Number of households supported through The Production of New Units	50	37
Number of households supported through Rehab of Existing Units	20	0
Number of households supported through Acquisition of Existing Units	25	26
Total	95	63

Table 12 – Number of Households Supported

Discuss the difference between goals and outcomes and problems encountered in meeting these goals.

Under the States CDBG program no affordable housing projects have been funded. The State's HOME program biggest challenge is not enough funds to support the housing needs of the State. The HOME program awards are based on the area with the biggest need. The HOME program continues to face the biggest challenge in the State, a need for more rental housing. Also the challenges with the pandemic slowed production down with delays in receiving supplies/materials.

Discuss how these outcomes will impact future annual action plans.

Under the States CDBG program no affordable housing projects have been funded. In the future, the State's HOME program will continue to award funds where there is the greatest need. A majority of the allocation will continue to be used on Rental housing. If that need changes, the State will change it's future plans accordingly.

Include the number of extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income persons served by each activity where information on income by family size is required to determine the eligibility of the activity.

Number of Households Served	CDBG Actual	HOME Actual	HTF Actual
Extremely Low-income	0	19	52
Low-income	0	24	
Moderate-income	0	20	
Total	0	63	

Table 13 – Number of Households Served

Narrative Information

All HTF funds that OHFA received in 2022 will be used to provide housing for Extremely Low Income families or families at or below the Poverty Line, whichever is greater.

CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c)

Evaluate the jurisdiction's progress in meeting its specific objectives for reducing and ending homelessness through:

Reaching out to homeless persons (especially unsheltered persons) and assessing their individual needs

The State program funds a variety of organizations whose programs meet key needs of their Continuum of Care Action Plans and communities. Points are awarded during the application scoring process to applicants who describe the services they provide in regard to reaching out to the homeless in their service areas. Then, the State evaluates each Organization's success beginning with program descriptions submitted in grant applications through generating contracts and then monitoring the program to insure the steps described in the application and contract were successful and performance measures met.

Addressing the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons

The State program funds a variety of organizations whose programs meet key needs of their Continuum of Care Action Plans and communities. Points are awarded during the application scoring process to applicants who describe the services they provide in regard to emergency shelter services in their service areas. Then, the State evaluates each Organization's success beginning with program descriptions submitted in grant applications through generating contracts and then monitoring the program to insure the steps described in the application and contract were successful and performance measures were met.

Helping low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless, especially extremely low-income individuals and families and those who are: likely to become homeless after being discharged from publicly funded institutions and systems of care (such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions); and, receiving assistance from public or private agencies that address housing, health, social services, employment, education, or youth needs

The State program funds a variety of organizations whose programs meet key needs of their Continuum of Care Action Plans and communities. Points are awarded during the application scoring process to applicants who describe the partnerships and collaborations they have with mainstream and local resources in an effort to not duplicate and expand services they provide to the low-income and families avoiding homelessness in their service areas. Then the State evaluates each Organization's success beginning with program descriptions submitted in grant applications through generating contracts and then monitoring the program to insure the steps described in the application and contract were successful and performance measures were met.

Helping homeless persons (especially chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth) make the transition to permanent housing and independent living, including shortening the period of time that individuals and families experience homelessness, facilitating access for homeless individuals and families to affordable housing units, and preventing individuals and families who were recently homeless from becoming homeless again

The State of Oklahoma makes available a percentage of its HOME program funds to be paired with Continuum of Care funding. The state works closely with HUD C of C staff to make these projects work.

There are two HOPWA Project Sponsors that coordinate services with COC to meet the needs of all categories of homelessness individuals that meet the eligibility criteria under the HOPWA Program guidelines.

The State of Oklahoma encourages the pairing of Housing Trust Fund monies with Continuum of Care funding.

ESG: The State program funds a variety of organizations whose programs meet key needs of their Continuum of Care Action Plans and communities. Points are awarded during the application scoring process to applicants who describe the partnerships and collaborations they have with mainstream and local resources in an effort to not duplicate and expand services they provide to the low-income and families avoiding homelessness in their service areas. Then the State evaluates each Organization's success beginning with program descriptions submitted in grant applications through generating contracts and then monitoring the program to insure the steps described in the application and contract were successful and performance measures were met.

CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)

Actions taken to address the needs of public housing

OHFA is itself a public housing agency that administers the Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program on a Statewide basis. OHFA also monitors the needs and activities of the other public housing agencies in the State of Oklahoma.

Actions taken to encourage public housing residents to become more involved in management and participate in homeownership

Efforts to collaborate more extensively with PHAs within the State of Oklahoma are underway. There are a total of 104 PHAs and 99 currently fall within the jurisdiction of the State Consolidated Plan. The five remaining public housing agencies – located in Norman, Lawton, Tulsa, Oklahoma City, and Shawnee – fall under other participating jurisdictions.

PHAs in Oklahoma provide resident involvement and awareness activities in connection with property management and asset-building through homeownership. This is accomplished through such activities as:

- Having housing authority residents serve on each PHA's Board of Commissioners.
- Affording residents notice and comment periods concerning proposed policy changes governing property operations.
- Affording residents input and comment processes regarding resource use.

Additionally, the Residents Initiative Network of Oklahoma is a proposed initiative that will offer a statewide program to train PHA residents in:

- Accessing and utilizing the citizen participation processes offered by the Authorities;
- Resident rights, duties and responsibilities; and
- Transitioning from rental to homeownership. Various PHAs within the State promote homeownership to their resident base.

Homeownership fairs, credit counseling, and direct contact with mortgage lenders and credit reporting services are examples of homeownership resident initiatives. On a limited basis, some PHAs develop their own single-family units and work to transition residents into ownership.

Actions taken to provide assistance to troubled PHAs

OHFA will wait to hear from HUD regarding whether or not there are currently any troubled public housing authorities. OHFA will work closely with HUD to provide technical assistance and oversight where necessary. It is not anticipated that any HOME funds will be used to help troubled public housing authorities. The State of Oklahoma has not appropriated funds for this purpose, nor has it authorized OHFA to assume the federal government's role of subsidizing the operations of public housing agencies.

CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)

Actions taken to remove or ameliorate the negative effects of public policies that serve as barriers to affordable housing such as land use controls, tax policies affecting land, zoning ordinances, building codes, fees and charges, growth limitations, and policies affecting the return on residential investment. 91.220 (j); 91.320 (i)

With regard to the CDBG and ESG programs ODOC/CD does not have jurisdictional authority to affect broad-based changes to a community's local ordinances. Additionally, from a statewide perspective the State Legislature is responsible for creating laws and regulations that may govern tax policies, fees and basic building codes, etc.

Actions taken to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The primary obstacle to meeting under-served needs is the lack of adequate funding. There are also some secondary obstacles with regard to the State's ability to coordinate resources in the most efficient and effective manner.

The State's strategy to overcome existing deficiencies in its housing and community institutional structure is based on education, outreach, and comprehensive planning. Consumers, providers, financiers, policy makers, advocates, and communities can benefit from increased cross-sector communication, dialogue, and education in understanding that economic factors are inextricably linked with meaningful solutions to the housing and community development needs and improved quality of life among the lower-income population.

Actions taken to reduce lead-based paint hazards. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

HOME Awardees must comply with:

- Requirements for Notification, Evaluation and Reduction of Lead-Based Paint Hazards in Federally Owned Residential Property and Housing Receiving Federal Assistance; Final Rule (24 CFR Part 35, et al.), and
- Oklahoma Lead-based Paint Management Act, 27A O.S. Supp 1994, Section 2-12-101 et seq., as amended.
- Pamphlet: Protect Your Family From Lead In Your Home
- Summary of Lead-Based Paint Requirements by Activity
- Lead Safe Housing Rule
- The Renovation, Repair & Painting Rule
- Pamphlet: Renovate Right; Important Lead Hazard Information for Families, Child Care Providers and Schools

Actions taken to reduce the number of poverty-level families. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Within the CDBG program ODOC/CD allows for Economic Development projects. This program provides funding for communities to undertake publicly owned infrastructure to support a business that is expanding and committed to creating new jobs. Under the CDBG program at least 51% of the jobs created must be offered to persons who are of low to moderate income. ODOC/CD has implemented an additional requirement which requires that the average of all jobs created must be at least 110% of the average county wage. Therefore, under this program low income persons/families are being hired into a better, higher paying job, which is usually higher than the average county wage.

The State of Oklahoma is committed to allocating appropriate resources with the goal of allowing its citizens the opportunity to reach self-sufficiency.

Actions taken to develop institutional structure. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Under the state's CDBG program the majority of funding goes to small rural communities who lack the administrative capacity to implement a CDBG project without help. ODOC/CD has developed over the years a strong relationship with the 11 designated Councils of Government. These regionally based organizations provide much needed administrative knowledge of the CDBG program to our rural communities. Additionally, ODOC/CD requires all individuals who administer grants for a fee must be certified as CDBG grant administrator. A list of these certified grant administrators can be located at <https://www.okcommerce.gov/community-development/local-governments-edos/community-development-block-grant-programs/>

Actions taken to enhance coordination between public and private housing and social service agencies. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Under the current CDBG program housing projects are not funded. Therefore, no real coordination exists between public and private housing and social service agencies regarding the CDBG program at this time.

Identify actions taken to overcome the effects of any impediments identified in the jurisdictions analysis of impediments to fair housing choice. 91.520(a)

Under the State CDBG program grant recipients (units of general local government) must provide

documentation of steps taken to affirmatively further fair housing regardless of the type of CDBG activity funded by ODOC/CD, for each fiscal year the grant recipient has received a CDBG contract. The local government must demonstrate that it is affirmatively furthering fair housing on a continual basis, not merely as a requirement of spending CDBG dollars. Efforts are to be made to identify discriminatory housing patterns and alleviate them by working with developer, landlords, realtors, residents and government agencies. To ensure these steps are taken to affirmatively further fair housing, ODOC/CD requires the submission of at least one Fair Housing activity with the Release of Funds request, also known as the Environmental Review, in our OKGrants system. Subrecipients are also required to provide proof of furthering Fair Housing during the monitoring process. If these steps are not in compliance, ODOC/CD presents the Subrecipient with a corrective action/finding to remedy the issue and this information will also be considered when conducting Risk Assessments for future funding. The Subrecipient must provide assurances that these steps to affirmatively further fair housing will be in compliance for future projects if awarded. The month of April has also been declared as Fair Housing Month in the State of Oklahoma

CR-40 - Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230

Describe the standards and procedures used to monitor activities carried out in furtherance of the plan and used to ensure long-term compliance with requirements of the programs involved, including minority business outreach and the comprehensive planning requirements.

As the State's ongoing responsibilities for the administration of the CDBG, HOME, HOPWA, ESG and HTF programs, ODOC/OFHA conducts comprehensive monitoring reviews of projects as needed. These reviews ensure that projects are carried out efficiently, effectively and in compliance with applicable Federal and State regulations. The State's monitoring process includes an onsite or desk monitored review of project progress, national objectives, eligible uses, fair housing, equal opportunity, environmental review, labor standards, procurement, and financial management. This monitoring process is described in our policies and procedures manual which highlights the entire procedure from notifying the subrecipient of the monitoring to exit interviews. In the event a subrecipient requires corrective action, that information is included in a formal letter and the subrecipient must make assurances that compliance measures will be adhered to for future projects. In most cases, a subrecipient will not be allowed to reapply for other set asides if there is a corrective action/s pending and the current project has not been closed. Information received during the monitoring process is also used in the risk assessment analysis for future funding.

Citizen Participation Plan 91.105(d); 91.115(d)

Describe the efforts to provide citizens with reasonable notice and an opportunity to comment on performance reports.

The State has adopted a citizen participation plan and consultation process for the Consolidated Plan and CAPER/PER. Because our programs cover the entire State, ODOC/CD coordinates with our in-house marketing division to provide announcements and reasonable notice to Oklahoma citizens. These notifications are sent primarily through the New Pioneer and GovDelivery but may also include media releases and social media content where appropriate. The New Pioneer is ODOCs Community Development newsletter that reaches all 600 communities, 77 Counties, Community Action Agencies, Public Housing Authorities, Council of Governments, and other State agencies. Like the New Pioneer, GovDelivery notification services are used to send direct messages to community partners. Included in these notifications are web links to virtual meetings, public hearing dates, performance reports and other information which is also posted to our website at www.OKCommerce.gov. The OKCommerce website also provides the option to translate web data information into several languages such as Spanish, Italian, French, Japanese, German and Vietnamese.

Furthermore, a Language Access Plan was developed to determine the need of translation services in Oklahoma. Based on data collected from this study, ODOC/CD provides translation services as needed. For more information on this study please visit <https://www.okcommerce.gov/wp-content/uploads/Language-Access-Plan.pdf>.

CR-45 - CDBG 91.520(c)

Specify the nature of, and reasons for, any changes in the jurisdiction’s program objectives and indications of how the jurisdiction would change its programs as a result of its experiences.

Currently, within the CDBG program the State is not changing any of its overall program objectives. However, the grant maximums for Water and Wastewater projects have been increased from \$450,000 to \$600,000. The increase is needed to accommodate the increase in construction costs of water and wastewater projects. This also allows for larger projects in general.

Does this Jurisdiction have any open Brownfields Economic Development Initiative (BEDI) grants?

No

[BEDI grantees] Describe accomplishments and program outcomes during the last year.

CR-50 - HOME 91.520(d)

Include the results of on-site inspections of affordable rental housing assisted under the program to determine compliance with housing codes and other applicable regulations

Please list those projects that should have been inspected on-site this program year based upon the schedule in §92.504(d). Indicate which of these were inspected and a summary of issues that were detected during the inspection. For those that were not inspected, please indicate the reason and how you will remedy the situation.

OHFA will verify that the Awardee of a low-income housing Project is maintaining records for each qualified low-income unit in the Project. These records must show, for each year in the compliance period, the information required by the record-keeping provisions contained in the HOME Regulations, incorporated herein by reference.

OHFA will verify that the records documenting compliance with the HOME Regulations for each year as described in Paragraph A above are retained for the entire affordability period.

OHFA will conduct construction inspections in order to ensure that HOME funds are not being drawn down for work that has not been completed, work that has not been done according to the specifications of the Written Agreement, or costs that are ineligible for HOME funding.

OHFA will inspect one hundred percent (100%) of the HOME Written Agreements as prescribed by HUD regulations and will inspect the low-income certification, the documentation the Awardee has received to support that certification, the rent records for Rental Projects and the home valuation limits for Homebuyer Projects.

OHFA inspected over 235 written agreements during program year 2021 that represent 1,100 units. The detail listing of all the contracts and the corresponding findings can be found on the monitoring list spreadsheet that is available for review.

Provide an assessment of the jurisdiction's affirmative marketing actions for HOME units. 92.351(b)

In order to achieve compliance with the Affirmative Marketing, Minority Outreach, and Fair Housing requirements at 24 CFR Parts 92.350 and 92.351, Written Agreements shall be executed between OHFA and all Program Awardees. Written Agreements shall prohibit discrimination on the basis of race, color, national origin, religion, sex, age, handicap, or familial status in connection with any activities funded with HOME Investment Partnerships Program assistance.

Refer to IDIS reports to describe the amount and use of program income for projects, including the number of projects and owner and tenant characteristics

OHFA has received approximately \$250,000 of program income during 2021. Most of the program income generated is from projects combined with LIHTC funds. The \$250,000 of program income was generated by approx 15 projects. The program income is used before any new HOME funds are drawdown. OHFA maintains a program income re-use log that shows the activities that uses program income.

Describe other actions taken to foster and maintain affordable housing. 91.220(k) (STATES ONLY: Including the coordination of LIHTC with the development of affordable housing). 91.320(j)

Oklahoma has a thorough network of public and private nonprofit organizations that delivers housing and supportive service needs. Many federal as well as State resources are provided through these entities directly to populations with supportive needs.

To attract and incorporate the programs and products offered through the supportive services network with the provision of affordable housing, many public intervention and private affordable housing resource providers encourage the use of development partnerships in their project selection systems. The State intends to continue its coordination of appropriate supportive services with its affordable housing activities through the use of funding selection methodologies.

CR-55 - HOPWA 91.520(e)

Identify the number of individuals assisted and the types of assistance provided

Table for report on the one-year goals for the number of households provided housing through the use of HOPWA activities for: short-term rent, mortgage, and utility assistance payments to prevent homelessness of the individual or family; tenant-based rental assistance; and units provided in housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA funds.

Number of Households Served Through:	One-year Goal	Actual
Short-term rent, mortgage, and utility assistance to prevent homelessness of the individual or family	40	51
Tenant-based rental assistance	45	8
Units provided in permanent housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA funds	0	5
Units provided in transitional short-term housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA funds	0	3

Table 14 – HOPWA Number of Households Served

Narrative

CR-56 - HTF 91.520(h)

Describe the extent to which the grantee complied with its approved HTF allocation plan and the requirements of 24 CFR part 93.

All HTF funds that OHFA receives in 2020 will be used to provide housing for Extremely Low Income families or families at or below the Poverty Line, whichever is greater. In accordance with 24 CFR Part 93, OHFA will allocate 10% of its grant to program planning and administration costs (\$300,000); up to one third for funding operating cost reserves; the balance of the grant will provide capital funding for new construction or rehabilitation of housing units.

Tenure Type	0 – 30% AMI	0% of 30+ to poverty line (when poverty line is higher than 30% AMI)	% of the higher of 30+ AMI or poverty line to 50% AMI	Total Occupied Units	Units Completed, Not Occupied	Total Completed Units
Rental	51	1	0	52	0	52
Homebuyer	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 15 - CR-56 HTF Units in HTF activities completed during the period

CR-58 – Section 3

Identify the number of individuals assisted and the types of assistance provided

Total Labor Hours	CDBG	HOME	ESG	HOPWA	HTF
Total Number of Activities	0	0	0	0	0
Total Labor Hours		0		0	0
Total Section 3 Worker Hours		0		0	0
Total Targeted Section 3 Worker Hours		0		0	0

Table 15 – Total Labor Hours

Qualitative Efforts - Number of Activities by Program	CDBG	HOME	ESG	HOPWA	HTF
Outreach efforts to generate job applicants who are Public Housing Targeted Workers					
Outreach efforts to generate job applicants who are Other Funding Targeted Workers.	1	1			1
Direct, on-the job training (including apprenticeships).					
Indirect training such as arranging for, contracting for, or paying tuition for, off-site training.					
Technical assistance to help Section 3 workers compete for jobs (e.g., resume assistance, coaching).					
Outreach efforts to identify and secure bids from Section 3 business concerns.	1	1			1
Technical assistance to help Section 3 business concerns understand and bid on contracts.					
Division of contracts into smaller jobs to facilitate participation by Section 3 business concerns.					
Provided or connected residents with assistance in seeking employment including: drafting resumes, preparing for interviews, finding job opportunities, connecting residents to job placement services.					
Held one or more job fairs.					
Provided or connected residents with supportive services that can provide direct services or referrals.					
Provided or connected residents with supportive services that provide one or more of the following: work readiness health screenings, interview clothing, uniforms, test fees, transportation.					
Assisted residents with finding child care.					
Assisted residents to apply for, or attend community college or a four year educational institution.					
Assisted residents to apply for, or attend vocational/technical training.					
Assisted residents to obtain financial literacy training and/or coaching.					
Bonding assistance, guaranties, or other efforts to support viable bids from Section 3 business concerns.					
Provided or connected residents with training on computer use or online technologies.					
Promoting the use of a business registry designed to create opportunities for disadvantaged and small businesses.	1				
Outreach, engagement, or referrals with the state one-stop system, as designed in Section 121(e)(2) of the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act.					

Other.	1				
--------	---	--	--	--	--

Table 16 – Qualitative Efforts - Number of Activities by Program

Narrative

Section 3 requirements apply to HUD-assisted public construction projects (as well as housing rehabilitation or housing construction) when the total amount of assistance to the project exceeds \$200,000 and the assistance is committed on or after July 1, 2021 (i.e., PY 2021 grants and beyond). Section 3 requirements do not apply to material supply contracts. ODOC/CD requires that subrecipients must maintain documentation of any efforts made by the subrecipients and its Contractors to meet Section 3 benchmarks and assist low- and very low-income persons with employment and training opportunities. As applicable, documentation may include but is not limited to:

- a. Copies of direct mail solicitations;
- b. Email and Internet outreach efforts;
- c. Formal advertisements;
- d. Flyers or brochures about meetings;
- e. Sign-in lists from job fairs and other public meetings;
- f. Agendas and/or meeting notes from meetings with Contractors;
- g. Other documentation, as appropriate.

ODOC/CD will provide technical assistance regarding Section 3 procedures and reporting requirements upon request. ODOC will monitor subrecipient compliance with Section 3 requirements as part of the grant closeout process. If ODOC issues a Finding for non-compliance with Section 3, the grant recipient could be penalized with not receiving future grant contracts. The subrecipient shall submit, with grant contract closeout documents, a FINAL "Section 3 Total Worker Hours Closeout Reporting Form" and supporting documentation for quantitative efforts as applicable.

CR-60 - ESG 91.520(g) (ESG Recipients only)

ESG Supplement to the CAPER in *e-snaps*

For Paperwork Reduction Act

1. Recipient Information—All Recipients Complete
Basic Grant Information

Recipient Name OKLAHOMA
Organizational DUNS Number 824700058
UEI
EIN/TIN Number 736017987
Identify the Field Office OKLAHOMA CITY
Identify CoC(s) in which the recipient or subrecipient(s) will provide ESG assistance North Central Oklahoma CoC

ESG Contact Name

Prefix Mr
First Name Marshall
Middle Name
Last Name Vogts
Suffix
Title Division Director

ESG Contact Address

Street Address 1 900 N. Stiles
Street Address 2
City Oklahoma City
State OK
ZIP Code 73104-3234
Phone Number 4058155339
Extension
Fax Number
Email Address marshall.vogts@okcommerce.gov

ESG Secondary Contact

Prefix
First Name
Last Name
Suffix
Title
Phone Number
Extension
Email Address

2. Reporting Period—All Recipients Complete

Program Year Start Date 04/01/2021
Program Year End Date 03/31/2022

3a. Subrecipient Form – Complete one form for each subrecipient

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: GREAT PLAINS IMPROVEMENT FOUNDATION, INC

City: Lawton

State: OK

Zip Code: 73501, 2409

DUNS Number: 070845706

UEI:

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 95966

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: KIBOIS C.A.F., INC

City: Stigler

State: OK

Zip Code: 74462, 0408

DUNS Number:

UEI:

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 102992.75

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: NORTHEAST OKLAHOMA CAA, INC

City: Jay

State: OK

Zip Code: 74346, 0603

DUNS Number:

UEI:

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 136537.99

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: CDSA

City: Enid

State: OK

Zip Code: 73701, 5624

DUNS Number:

UEI:

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 58041.25

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: YOUTH AND FAMILY SERVICES, INC. (EL RENO)

City: El Reno

State: OK

Zip Code: 73036, 9120

DUNS Number: 168582302

UEI:

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 66392

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Safenet Services, Inc

City: Claremore

State: OK

Zip Code: 74018, 0446

DUNS Number: 021111057

UEI:

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 45512.67

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: NW Domestic Crisis Services, Inc.

City: Woodward

State: OK

Zip Code: 73801, 2825

DUNS Number: 867237471

UEI:

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 132784

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: INCA Community Services, Inc.

City: Tishomingo

State: OK

Zip Code: 73460, 1605

DUNS Number: 119850428

UEI:

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 102992.75

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Ada Homeless Shelter

City: Ada

State: OK

Zip Code: 74820, 3267

DUNS Number:

UEI:

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 78492.75

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Northern Oklahoma Youth Services Center and Shelter, Inc.

City: Ponca City

State: OK

Zip Code: 74601, 1108

DUNS Number: 197399348

UEI:

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 58041.25

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Community Action Resource & Development

City: Claremore

State: OK

Zip Code: 74017, 2106

DUNS Number:

UEI:

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 45512.67

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Payne County Youth Services, Inc.

City: Stillwater

State: OK

Zip Code: 74076, 2647

DUNS Number: 168591501

UEI:

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 58041.25

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Food and Shelter, Inc.

City: Norman

State: OK

Zip Code: 73071, 5609

DUNS Number: 800338628

UEI:

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 94629

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Thunderbird Clubhouse

City: Norman

State: OK

Zip Code: 73071, 2967

DUNS Number: 28324650

UEI:

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 64629

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Marie Detty Youth and Family Services, Inc.

City: Lawton

State: OK

Zip Code: 73501, 4016

DUNS Number: 605081462

UEI:

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 95966

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Deep Fork CAF Inc.

City: Okmulgee

State: OK

Zip Code: 74447, 5017

DUNS Number: 119737203

UEI:

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 102992.75

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Seminole County DV Taskforce

City: Seminole

State: OK

Zip Code: 74868, 3158

DUNS Number: 021883207

UEI:

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 26556

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Central Oklahoma Community Action Agency

City: Shawnee

State: OK

Zip Code: 74802, 0486

DUNS Number:

UEI:

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 39836

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Southwest Oklahoma Community Action Group

City: Altus

State: OK

Zip Code: 73521, 4602

DUNS Number: 098461809

UEI:

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 95966

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: The Salvation Army

City: Norman

State: OK

Zip Code: 73071, 6409

DUNS Number: 110992752

UEI:

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 24674

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Stillwater MOH Inc

City: Stillwater

State: OK

Zip Code: 74074, 7939

DUNS Number: 117554938

UEI:

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 58041.25

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Grand Lake Mental Health Center

City: Nowata

State: OK

Zip Code: 74048, 2601

DUNS Number: 072084403

UEI:

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 45512.67

CR-65 - Persons Assisted

4. Persons Served

4a. Complete for Homelessness Prevention Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	562
Children	332
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	894

Table 16 – Household Information for Homeless Prevention Activities

4b. Complete for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	531
Children	292
Don't Know/Refused/Other	2
Missing Information	1
Total	826

Table 17 – Household Information for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

4c. Complete for Shelter

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	2,163
Children	880
Don't Know/Refused/Other	1
Missing Information	0
Total	3,044

Table 18 – Shelter Information

4d. Street Outreach

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	3
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	1
Missing Information	0
Total	4

Table 19 – Household Information for Street Outreach

4e. Totals for all Persons Served with ESG

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	3,154
Children	1,463
Don't Know/Refused/Other	5
Missing Information	1
Total	4,623

Table 20 – Household Information for Persons Served with ESG

5. Gender—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Male	1,934
Female	2,658
Transgender	11
Don't Know/Refused/Other	10
Missing Information	1
Total	4,614

Table 21 – Gender Information

6. Age—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Under 18	1,421
18-24	442
25 and over	2,733
Don't Know/Refused/Other	12
Missing Information	3
Total	4,611

Table 22 – Age Information

7. Special Populations Served—Complete for All Activities

Number of Persons in Households

Subpopulation	Total	Total Persons Served – Prevention	Total Persons Served – RRH	Total Persons Served in Emergency Shelters
Veterans	119	0	0	0
Victims of Domestic Violence	396	0	0	0
Elderly	178	0	0	0
HIV/AIDS	9	0	0	0
Chronically Homeless	278	0	0	0
Persons with Disabilities:				
Severely Mentally Ill	790	0	0	0
Chronic Substance Abuse	382	0	0	0
Other Disability	956	0	0	0
Total (Unduplicated if possible)	1,540	0	0	0

Table 23 – Special Population Served

CR-70 – ESG 91.520(g) - Assistance Provided and Outcomes

10. Shelter Utilization

Number of New Units - Rehabbed	18
Number of New Units - Conversion	0
Total Number of bed-nights available	173,409
Total Number of bed-nights provided	94,860
Capacity Utilization	54.70%

Table 24 – Shelter Capacity

11. Project Outcomes Data measured under the performance standards developed in consultation with the CoC(s)

CR-75 – Expenditures

11. Expenditures

11a. ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2019	2020	2021
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	0	201,217	137,715
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	0	31,445	33,954
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services	0	59,175	37,215
Expenditures for Homeless Prevention under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	0	0
Subtotal Homelessness Prevention	0	291,837	208,884

Table 25 – ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

11b. ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2019	2020	2021
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	0	349,327	121,742
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	0	111,998	71,567
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services	0	114,582	49,190
Expenditures for Homeless Assistance under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	0	0
Subtotal Rapid Re-Housing	0	575,907	242,499

Table 26 – ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

11c. ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2019	2020	2021
Essential Services	0	165,440	132,146
Operations	0	460,986	232,113
Renovation	0	0	0

Major Rehab	0	0	0
Conversion	0	0	0
Subtotal	0	626,426	364,259

Table 27 – ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

11d. Other Grant Expenditures

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2019	2020	2021
Street Outreach	0	2,057	78
HMIS	0	53,672	32,148
Administration	0	115,724	83,198

Table 28 - Other Grant Expenditures

11e. Total ESG Grant Funds

Total ESG Funds Expended	2019	2020	2021
	0	1,665,623	931,066

Table 29 - Total ESG Funds Expended

11f. Match Source

	2019	2020	2021
Other Non-ESG HUD Funds	0	16,880	76,052
Other Federal Funds	0	243,016	150,390
State Government	0	707,728	347,006
Local Government	0	65,937	29,969
Private Funds	0	407,200	86,342

Other	0	449,336	439,449
Fees	0	0	0
Program Income	0	0	75,000
Total Match Amount	0	1,890,097	1,204,208

Table 30 - Other Funds Expended on Eligible ESG Activities

11g. Total

Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities	2019	2020	2021
	0	3,555,720	2,135,274

Table 31 - Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities