Strawman Proposal

Discussion:

1. Geographic Recommendations
   a. Group is not comfortable recommending a specific geographic area until the data can be gathered and analyzed. Therefore:
   b. Mapping data should be provided to members as raw data at the census block level. Then geographic areas can be determined based on the statistical information gathered to allow for needs-based-development.
   c. Potential boundary options based on data outcomes
      i. Break out state into multiple sections based on census block data to provide support to areas that would provide best increases per investment dollar.
      ii. Legislative Districts- Not recommended as no direct correlation to broadband deployments.
      iii. Other option...
      iv. Other option...
      v. Recommend data should show areas that are supported by the OUSF and areas that are not supported by the OUSF. Assist in avoiding doubling of efforts.
      vi. Mapping should incorporate a red/yellow/green ("stoplight system") so that it is easily understandable to the general public

2. Other Points for Consideration
   a. Engage with Cost Quest who is already performing the initial study.
      i. Technology neutral but again, need verification/testing
      ii. Confirm what data is being gathered
      iii. Recommend data look at 25/3 minimum and upcoming 50-100 potential FCC requirement for bids
      iv. Recommend verification procedures for "claimed" speeds versus actual.
      v. Recommend the process allow for regular updates to be handled through an agency, such as OCC. Would sunset on State performed mapping as long as Federal programs are maintaining the mapping functions. Need timelines on sunset and parameters for Federal mapping.
   b. Need a clear policy decision about the desired outcome of this project